Town of Middlebury Ilsley Library Renovation Expansion Working Group Minutes of Meeting November 11, 2021 Present: Selectboard member Dan Brown (via Zoom) Ilsley Library Board of Trustees Joe McVeigh and Amy Mincher Library Director Dana Hart Town Staff Working Group Liaison Judith Harris (via Zoom)

Absent: Selectboard member Lindsey Fuentes-George

The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. by Joe McVeigh and the agenda was adopted as presented.

Approval of 11/4/21 Minutes

The minutes were approved as presented.

Determine names to disambiguate public and professional teams going forward

McVeigh said Harris has pointed out that the term "Design Team" is more commonly used to mean the professional team of architects/engineers working on the building design, so they need to consider the name of the next group that will be taking over. He said the Trustees discussed it briefly and one idea was to call the next group the "Centennial Renovation and Expansion Team", realizing it will probably be called mostly the Renovation and Expansion Team, but it recognizes that the Library will be 100 years old in 2024 and that any design project should be looking forward to the next 100 years. Harris asked if this would cover the sub-teams as well, but Hart said she believes the Trustees are envisioning separate funding and community engagement teams.

Brown said he likes simple names and that sounds a little too complex, but he'll go with whatever everyone agrees to. He asked if the funding and community engagement teams would be under the Renovation and Expansion Team umbrella. Hart said she doesn't think the Trustees envision those teams being ones that the Selectboard would appoint, but would be chosen by the Trustees.

Mincher said she understood that these groups would all be working independently and not under the umbrella of the Renovation and Expansion Team. Harris said she would have concerns about mixed-messages if the Renovation and Expansion Team is working with the Design Team without including the other two teams, and worries this creates a possibility for errors and misinformation being communicated. She suggested that a member from the Funding and Community Engagement Teams be members of the Renovation and Expansion Team as well so there is continuity. McVeigh said they had thought of the 3-team approach because of the difficulty finding volunteers, Brown pointed out that the Funding Team really needs members with certain skills.

Brown said he doesn't see any of these being under the Selectboard. Hart said she thought the Trustees looked at this group as being joint-appointees. McVeigh said that since Ilsley is a "municipal" library, the Trustees have power over how the money is spent, library policies and they supervise the Director, but he doesn't believe they have the purview of the building which falls under the Town, so the Selectboard

are in charge of the care of the building. Brown said he understood that as well, but thought it was different when choosing the team to come up with a new building. Hart said it needs to be really clear in the charge to this group who they report to.

Following further discussion on the hierarchy of the teams and who they'd report to, Harris suggested McVeigh create an organizational diagram to show who reports to who, since right now it sounds confusing. Harris described the process involved when the new Town Offices were being designed, and how there was a design focus group that worked with the architects on the details and reported back to the Selectboard, and that worked well, and she doesn't see this group being that much different. Hart suggested finalizing the final name of this group at the next meeting.

Review and Discuss proposed changes to Item B (Especially focusing on 'What was learned from the process of the previous Library Building Committee? What should be approached differently this time around?')

McVeigh said one thing that had been mentioned was in the last round of the library building committee work, there was a perceived lack of community engagement, however they did surveys from as early as August 2014 and collected a great deal of data on what people thought was important. He said despite all this data, when the design came out it was not well received, so wonders what can be done differently this time around.

Brown said he finds that when we work on these types of projects only a certain few in the community pay attention until the final designs, and then everyone comes forward with an opinion. He said it's a matter of keeping the public informed about what is being done and what is being thought about, so it's just a public relations issue. Mincher agreed that there was a lot of input at the beginning, but not much of community input when the design was being developed, so you need to have the process and the progress be communicated to the public to help them understand the final design.

Hart described the process used in the Town of Shelburne in the development of their library designs and how they went out into the community with various design options and price points, and she thinks it will take a lot of face-to-face time with the public throughout the process.

Harris addressed item #7 in Item B regarding inflation and the rising building costs when looking at price. She explained how the design professionals build in cost increase contingencies in every stage of design cost estimates, so there shouldn't be concerns about inflation or rising construction costs, because those will be included in the professional estimates. Hart asked if that should be eliminated, and Harris said it should be reworded.

Review and Discuss proposed changes to Item C (Recommended changes or refinements to the draft charge to the Design Team)

Harris said she had taken out the item about the professional design team focusing on developing the plans on the current site, and wondered if this had been discussed with the Trustees. Hart said it was discussed and all the Trustees felt pretty strongly it should remain in its current space, but after further debate they were all fairly comfortable with considering moving the library to a new site, although there was skepticism another downtown site could be found.

 There was discussion on the wording of Item 8 in regards to the Selectboard roles and how there was some unease and confusion of what was expected of the Selectboard the last time the Building Committee presented the plans to the Board. Brown said it needs to be spelled out who is going to be doing what to move this project forward. Harris and Hart will work with Town Manager Ramsay on the process for the Selectboard approving building projects.

100101102

103

104

96

97

98

99

McVeigh said there is still \$24,000 left in the budget, and for FY23 they're proposing an additional \$15,000 to those funds to hire an architect. He said Harris suggests a design team that has more professionals, and asked her if she thought \$39,000 would be enough for this or should they request additional funds. Harris said she would need to discuss this with Ramsay.

105106107

Brainstorm questions for meeting with Town Planner Jen Murray on 11.18.2021

108 109

110

111

112

McVeigh said it's been suggested any new design should fit into the Downtown Plan, so asked for suggestions of questions to ask Town Planner Jen Murray. Harris said an overview from Murray on the vision of the Downtown Master Plan and how they work would be helpful. She said she may also have insight into what could be done differently this time around. There was further discussion on possible topics to discuss with Murray.

113114

Other potential meetings

115116117

December 2nd – Former Library Building Committee members John Freidin, Dennis O'Brien and Nick

118 Artim

December 9th – Greg Gossens and Tom Bachman of Gossens Bachman Architect

120

121 Next Meeting

122

The next meeting of the Ilsley Library Renovation/Expansion Working Group is Thursday, November 18, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. in the Town Offices.

125

- 126 Respectfully submitted,
- 127 Beth Dow